Annual statementon research integrity

Section1: Key contact information

Question	Response
1A. Nameof organisation	Universityof Worcester

1B. Typeof organisation:

higher education institution/industry/independe nt research performing organisation/other (please state)

2C.Reflectionson progressand plansfor future developments

The University has made significant progress in developing its policies, processes and systems in support of research integrity. It also has dedicated staff in place to supportresearchintegrity. It provides ætrongsuiteof trainingand support around ethics and research integrity.

Where we have work to do is in raising the profile of research integrity amongst our researchers. We aim therefore to hold "Research Integrity" week in Spring 2024whichwill be a series of lectures, seminars and workshops for researchers on this topic. We will further evaluate staff understanding of research integrity throughour review of our new Research integrity Policy BoTw 0.23TTf1.001f7w 056.000.0004.0004.0004

0 J

.Т

Section3: Addressingresearchmisconduct

3A. Statementon processes that the organisation has in place for dealing with allegations of misconduct

The University has long had in place procedures for investigating allegations of researchmisconductaligned with the UKRIO processes The University approved a new policy for investigating research misconduct allegations for 2022/23 which builds on the former procedures.

The University identifies a named contact (and an alternative contact) on its external and internal research integrity webpages for any individual who has any concerns with the conduct of research undertaken by staff or students at the UniversityIndividualsmayraisegeneralconcernsor maychooseto makea formal allegation which may then be subject to investigation.

There were zero allegations of research misconduct submitted to the contacts or received through other mechanisms 2022/23 and there were zero investigations of research misconduct undertake m2022/23. Whilst the University is pleased in principle that no allegations of research misconduct have been received, it is also conscious that this may potentially be a reflection of individuals not always being aware of mechanisms to report such **gate** on a findividuals not feeling sufficiently empowered or knowledge able or makes uchallegations. As highlighted in last year's report, all paperwork associated with research (e.g. participant information sheets) were updated to ensure that it ædt vhighlights our processes in this area.

3B. Information on investigations of researchmisconduct that have been undertaken

	Number of allegations			
Typeof allegation	Number of		Number	Number
	allegations	Number of	upheld in	upheld in
	reported to	formal	part after	full after
	the	investigations	formal	formal
	organisation		investigation	investigation
Fabrication	0	0		